(C)

കേരള സർക്കാർ Government of Kerala 2015



Regn. No. KERBIL/2012/45073 dated 5-9-2012 with RNI

Reg. No. KL/TV(N)/634/2015-17

കേരള ഗസററ് KERALA GAZETTE

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത് PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

വാല്യം 4 Vol. IV	തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ Thiruvananthapuram,	2015 മേയ് 5 5th May 2015 1190 മേടം 21 21st Medam 1190 1937 വൈശാഖം 15	നമ്പർ No.	18
	Tuesday	15th Vaisakha 1937		

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Skills Department Labour and Skills (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 318/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th March 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Mangalam Publications India (Private) Limited, S. H. Mount P. O., Kottayam-686 006 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the President, Mangalam Employees Union (TUCI), Kaumudi Building, T. B. Road, Kottayam-686 001 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether wage fixed to factory workers of Mangalam News Paper Daily in respect of recommendation of Majidiya Wage Board by the management is correct or not? If not correct what is the remedy the workers are entitled to? What will be the eligible amount to each worker, as per the correct wage fixation?

(2)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 319/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th March 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Smt. Bini Saju, w/o Saju, Neduveli Puthenpurakkal House, Muttithadi P. O., Thrissur-680 317 (2) Sri C. R. Shaju, Choondayil House, Ollur, Pallinada, Thrissur-680 306 and the workmen of the above referred establishment (1) Sri N. R. Haridas, Nellanattu House, Kallur P. O., Pin-680 302 (2) Sri K. O. Binoy, Kalathinkal House, Ponnukkara P. O., Bharatha, Thrissur-680 306 represented

by Sri K. V. Haridas, General Secretary Trichur District Road Transport Employees Union (CITU) Machingal Lane, Cylon Lodging, Thrissur-680 001 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the denial of employment to Sri N. R. Haridas, Driver and Sri K. O. Binoy, Conductor by the bus owners Smt. Bini Saju and Sri C. R. Shaju is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to get?

(3)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 320/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th March 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri I. R. Vijayan, President, P. D. D. P. Society, Kalariparambu, Mathilakam P. O., Pin-680 685 and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. K.V. Sreedevi, w/o Sajeevan, Adiparambil, Kalariparambu, Mathilakam P. O., Pin-680 685 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. K. V. Sreedevi, Helper by the management of P. D. D. P. Society, Mathilakam is justifiable? If not what relief she is entitled to get?

(4)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 321/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th March 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, Mary Matha ICSE School, Pudukad P. O., Thrissur-680 301 and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. Jessy, w/o Joshi Antony, Thekkumpuram Veedu, Pudukad P. O., Thrissur-680 301 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal, will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Jessy, Ayah, by the management of Mary Matha ICSE School is justifiable? If not what relief she is entitled to get?

(5)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 322/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th March 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri P. K. Ayoob, Proprietor, Damas Wedding Silks, Civic Centre, Main Road, Chavakkad, Thrissur-680 506 and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. K. N. Bindhu w/o Preman, Ponmalan Veedu, Blangad P. O., Chavakkad, Thrissur-680 506 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

. Annexure

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. K. N. Bindhu, Sales girl, by the management of M/s Damas Wedding Silks is justifiable?

If not what relief she is entitled to get?

(6)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 323/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th March 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Mary Matha ICSE School, Pudukad P. O., Thrissur-680 301 and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. K. M. Priya, Kanjirathinkal Veedu, Palazhi, Pudukad, Thrissur-680 301 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. K. M. Priya, Ayah, by the management of Mary Matha ICSE School is justifiable? If not what relief she is entitled to get?

(7)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 324/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th March 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri P. K. Ayoob, Proprietor, Damas Weddding Silks, Civic Centre, Main Road, Chavakkad, Thrissur- 680 506 and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. A. M. Yuhanath D/o Muhammadali, Aalungal Veedu, Munneni, Akaladu P. O., Thrissur-680 518 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. A. M. Yuhanath, Sales girl, by the management of M/s Damas Wedding Silks, is justifiable? If not what relief she is entitled to get?

(8)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 329/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th March 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the General Manager, B.C. Cheruvally Estate, P. B. No. 2, Erumeli P. O., Kottayam-686 509 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Joseph Antony, Charangattu Veedu, Kanakappalam P. O., Cheruvally Estate, Erumeli, Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki (Peermade). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Joseph Antony, Worker, by the management of B. C. Cheruvally Estate, P. B. No. 2, Erumeli P. O., Kottayam is justifiable? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?

(9)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 330/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th March 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, Y.M.C.A., Chinnakkada, Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. Biji Albert d/o Lazar, Cherupoika Veedu, Nandhirikkal, Vellimon P. O., Kundara in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Biji Albert, Cleaning Staff of Y.M.C.A. by the President, Y.M.C.A., Kollam is justifiable or not? If not, what relief she is entitled to get?

(10)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 331/2015/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th March 2015.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Anil Sukumaran, Jyothis Cutlet (Jyothis Frozen Foods), Near High School Junction, Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. Bindhu Anil w/o Anil, Thamarapalli Vadakkathil, Thrikkadavur, Anchalummoodu, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947

(Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Bindhu Anil, helper by the management of Jyothis Cutlet (Jyothis Frozen Foods), Near High School Junction, Kollam is justifiable or not? If not, what relief she is entitled to get?

By order of the Governor,

Madhu, K.,
Deputy Secretary to Government.